

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,955	10/27/2000	Kazuyoshi Tamura	107703	3185	
25944	7590 07/15/2003				
OLIFF & B	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19			ANDERSON, M	ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA 22320				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			R			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/696,955	TAMURA ET AL.				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	,					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		·				
10. Other:	()() () () () () () () () ()	 -				
Outor			•			
S Delegated Total Conference of the Conference o						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The analysis of the relative value of the expected intrinsic gettering effect requires further consideration..

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700